

REMARKS

The applicant respectfully requests reconsideration in view of the amendment and the following remarks. The applicant has amended claim 7 and provided antecedent basis for claims 10 and 11. The applicant has amended the specification and added headings to the specification and a Brief Description of the Figures as requested by the Examiner.

Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 12 and 14 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as claims which depend from indefinite claims are also indefinite. To the extent this amendment does not overcome this rejection the applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

The applicant appreciates that the Examiner has allowed claims 1-6, 8, 9 and 13. Claims 10 and 11 depend upon claim 7. The applicant has amended claim 7 and provided antecedent basis for claims 10 and 11 [(claim 10 - the first separation sequence) and (claim 11- the second separation sequences)]. For the above reasons, this rejection should be withdrawn.

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 03-2775, under Order No. 12810-00190-US from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: October 20, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

Electronic signature: /Ashley I. Pezzner/

Ashley I. Pezzner

Registration No.: 35,646

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

1007 North Orange Street

P. O. Box 2207

Wilmington, Delaware 19899-2207

(302) 658-9141

(302) 658-5614 (Fax)

Attorney for Applicant